

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,653	12/12/2001	Robert J. Koziy	100.407US02	9785
7590 12/18/2007 Fogg & Associates, LLC P.O. Box 581339			EXAMINER	
			HYUN, SOON D	
Minneapolis, MN 55458-1339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/017,653	KOZIY ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Soon D. Hyun	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Na Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) ust be filed within one of the following	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing days).  Inclinance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as ite of the final rejection, even if timely filed,  filed within two months of the date of a avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since	
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.  (a) They raise new issues that would require further of the control	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re a corresponding number of finally re	educing or simplifying the issues for	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): all how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to: 17-19.  Claim(s) rejected: 14-16 and 20-45.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe rry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	

11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 14 and 33, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive, see the response to the arguments in the last office action.

Regarding claim 17, Applicant's arguments are persuasive and thus, claims 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER